UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| • | 10/520,984 | 01/11/2005 | Koji Yoshida | 8861-513US(P31894-01) | 5211 |
| 570 7590 01/04/2007 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | ONE COMMERCE SQUARE | | | LAXTON, GARY L | |
| 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | |) | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2838 | |
| | | | | | |
| l | SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | NTHS | 01/04/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | \mathcal{V} | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/520,984 | YOSHIDA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gary L. Laxton | 2838 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2006. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,8 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | • | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ∆ □ 1-1 | Summan (PTO 412) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | |

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 6, 8 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected as being in improper form because independent claim 1 has been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (Admitted Prior Art Figure 9) in combination with Faye et al. (US 6,6501,169).

Fig 9 discloses switching section (103-106); transformer (107); synchronous rectifier section (108, 109); smoothing section (110, 111); PWM circuit (114); drive transformer (121); auxiliary power supply (115); first and second drive switches (116, 117 or 118, 119); primary capacitor (120).

However APA fig. 9 does not disclose controlling the first drive switch and the second drive switch to have an OFF period in which the first drive switch and the second drive switch are simultaneously OFF according to the PWM signal.

Faye et al. teach a gate driver circuit wherein the transistors (Q1, Q2) include a dead time wherein both transistors are simultaneously off in order to avoid shoot through.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify APA fig. 9 to include dead time between turn on and turn off of the transistors in the driver circuit in order to avoid shoot through as taught by Faye et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6 and 9 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art fails to disclose or suggest, inter alia, a switching power supply having the first winding of the drive transformer connected to the switching sections.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6,452,818 Simopoulos discloses a self driving active clamp in a synchronous rectifier; US 6,111,769 Zhang et al. disclose a driving circuit for bridge type synchronous rectification; US 5,289,359 Ziermann disclose a dc-dc power converter.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on (571) 272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary L. Laxton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838